## Synthesis of 4-Arylcoumarins via Cu-Catalyzed Hydroarylation with Arylboronic Acids

LETTERS 2008 Vol. 10, No. 24 5513–5516

ORGANIC

## Yoshihiko Yamamoto\* and Naohiro Kirai

Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan

omyy@apc.titech.ac.jp

## Received September 26, 2008

ABSTRACT



In the presence of  $2-4 \mod \%$  of CuOAc, methyl phenylpropiolates having a MOM-protected hydroxy group at the ortho position underwent hydroarylation with various arylboronic acids in MeOH at ambient temperature, resulting in the formation of 4-arylcoumarins in high yields after the acidic workup. This method was effectively used for the synthesis of biologically active natural and artificial compounds.

Coumarin (2*H*-1-benzopyran-2-one) is a privileged oxygen heterocycle widely distributed throughout the plant kingdom.<sup>1</sup> Among naturally occurring coumarins, 4-aryl derivatives (neoflavones), which constitute a subgroup of flavonoids, have received considerable attention since they exhibit important biological activities<sup>2</sup> such as anti-HIV,<sup>3</sup> antimalarial,<sup>4</sup> antibacterial,<sup>5</sup> and cytotoxic.<sup>6</sup> In addition, several natural and synthetic polyoxygenated 4-arylcoumarins have been evaluated as the nonisomerizable analogues of combretastatin A-4, which is an important antitubulin agent that

interacts with the binding site of colchicine.<sup>7</sup> Conventionally, 4-arylcoumarins have been obtained from phenols by means of condensation reactions with carbonyl compounds such as Pechmann or Perkin reactions,<sup>8</sup> while they are also synthesized currently through catalytic hydroarylations with propiolates.<sup>9</sup> However, devising new synthetic routes that yield various types of derivatives in a divergent manner would be highly useful in terms of discovery of new drugs.<sup>10</sup> In this respect, the transition-metal-catalyzed coupling reactions are highly promising tools since they effectively introduce various arene rings into the coumarin framework at a later

(10) (a) Schreiber, S. L. Science 2000, 287, 1964–1969. (b) Spring, D. R.
 Org. Biomol. Chem. Int. 2003, 1, 3867–3870. (c) Wilson, R. M.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 8329–8351.

 <sup>(</sup>a) Murray, R. D. H. Nat. Prod. Rep. **1995**, *12*, 477–505. (b) Estévez-Braun, A.; González, A. G. Nat. Prod. Rep. **1997**, *14*, 465–475. (c) Malikov, V. M.; Saidkhodzhaev, A. I. Khim. Prir. Soedin. **1998**, *34*, 250–281.

<sup>(2)</sup> Garazd, M. M.; Garazd, Y. L.; Khilya, V. P. *Khim. Prir. Soedin.* **2003**, *39*, 47–82.

<sup>(3)</sup> Patil, A. D.; Freyer, A. J.; Eggleston, D. S.; Haltiwanger, R. C.; Bean, M. F.; Taylor, P. B.; Caranfa, M. J.; Breen, A. L.; Bartus, H. R.; Johnson, R. K.; Hertzberg, R. P.; Westley, J. W. *J. Med. Chem.* **1993**, *36*, 4131–4138.

<sup>(4) (</sup>a) Köhler, I.; Jenett-Siems, K.; Mockenhaupt, F. P.; Siems, K.; Jakupovic, J.; González, J. C.; Hernández, M. A.; Ibarra, R. A.; Berendsohn, W. G.; Bienzle, U.; Eich, E. *Planta Med.* **2001**, *67*, 89–91. (c) Argotte-Ramos, R.; Ramí rez-Avila, G.; Rodrí guez-Gutiérrez, M. d. C.; Ovilla-Muñoz, M.; Lanz-Mendoza, H.; Rodrí guez, M. H.; González-Cortazar, M.; Alvarez, L. J. Nat. Prod. **2006**, *69*, 1442–1444.

<sup>(5)</sup> Verotta, L.; Lovaglio, E.; Vidari, G.; Finzi, P. V.; Neri, M. G.; Raimondi, A.; Parapini, S.; Taramelli, D.; Riva, A.; Bombardelli, E. *Phytochemistry* **2004**, *65*, 2867–2879.

<sup>(6)</sup> Guilet, D.; Hélesbeux, J.-J.; Séraphin, D.; Sévenet, T.; Richomme, P.; Bruneton, J. J. Nat. Prod. **2001**, *64*, 563–568.

<sup>(7) (</sup>a) Bailly, C.; Bal, C.; Barbier, P.; Combes, S.; Finet, J.-P.; Hildebrand, M.-P.; Peyrot, V.; Wattez, N. *J. Med. Chem.* **2003**, *46*, 5437– 5444. (b) Rappl, C.; Barbier, P.; Bourgarel-Rey, V.; Grégoire, C.; Gilli, R.; Carre, M.; Combes, S.; Finet, J.-P.; Peyrot, V. *Biochemistry* **2006**, *45*, 9210–9218.

<sup>(8)</sup> Garazd, M. M.; Garazd, Y. L.; Khilya, V. P. Khim. Prir. Soedin. 2005, 41, 199–218.

<sup>(9)</sup> For selected examples, see: (a) Jia, C.; Piao, D.; Oyamada, J.; Lu, W.; Kitamura, T.; Fujiwara, Y. *Science* 2000, 287, 1992–1995. (b) Jia, C.; Piao, D.; Kitamura, T.; Fujiwara, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 7516–7522. (c) Kitamura, T.; Yamamoto, K.; Kotani, M.; Oyamada, J.; Jia, C.; Fujiwara, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2003, 76, 1889–1895. (d) Kotani, M.; Yamamoto, K.; Oyamada, J.; Fujiwara, Y.; Kitamura, T. Synthesis 2004, n/a, 1455–1470. (e) Oyamada, J.; Kitamura, T. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 6918–6925. (f) Shi, Z.; He, C. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3669–3671. (g) Li, K.; Zeng, Y.; Neuenswander, B.; Tunge, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6515–6518.

stage of the synthetic sequence. Palladium-, rhodium-, or nickel-catalyzed cross couplings of coumarin scaffolds with a coupling point at the 4 position have been developed by several research groups.<sup>11</sup> Cacchi and co-workers have recently devised the domino Heck coupling/cyclization method.<sup>12</sup> This method is useful since readily available cinnamates and aryl halides can be coupled together to form 4-arylcoumarins directly.

We have previously reported that the *syn* hydroarylation of propiolates with arylboronic acids proceeds even at ambient temperature without additives upon treatment with an inexpensive copper catalyst in methanol.<sup>13</sup> As a continuation of this study, we herein synthesize 4-arylcoumarins **3** via the Cu-catalyzed hydroarylation of phenol-derived propiolates **1** with arylboronic acids **2** and subsequent cyclization (Scheme 1).



The catalytic hydroarylation of alkynes and their subsequent cyclization have been employed thus far for the syntheses of butenolides,<sup>14</sup> chromenes,<sup>15</sup> and quinolines;<sup>16</sup> however, no example of the direct synthesis of 4-arylcoumarins along this line has been reported as far as we know.

(11) (a) Wattanasin, S. Synth. Commun. **1988**, 18, 1919–1925. (b) Ciattini, P. G.; Morera, E.; Ortar, G. Synth. Commun. **1995**, 25, 2883–2894. (c) Boland, G. M.; Donnelly, D. M. X.; Finet, J.-P.; Rea, M. D. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1996**, n/a, 2591–2597. (d) Schio, L.; Chatreaux, F.; Klich, M. Tetrahedron Lett. **2000**, 41, 1543–1547. (e) Wu, J.; Liao, Y.; Yang, Z. J. Org. Chem. **2001**, 66, 3642–3645. (f) Wu, J.; Yang, Z. J. Org. Chem. **2002**, 43, 4395–4397. (h) Lei, J.-G.; Xu, M.-H.; Lin, G.-O. Synlett **2004**, n/a, 2364–2368.

(12) (i) Tang, Z.-Y.; Hu, Q.-Y. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1635–1637. (j) Wu, J.; Zhang, L.; Xia, H.-G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 1525–1528. (k) Wu, J.; Zhang, L.; Luo, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 6747–6750. (l) Wu, J.; Zhang, L.; Gao, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, n/a, 5260–5263. (m) Zhang, L.; Meng, T.; Fan, R.; Wu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 7279–7286. Battistuzzzi, G.; Cacchi, S.; Salve, I. D.; Fabrizi, G.; Parisi, L. M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 308–312.

(13) Yamamoto, Y.; Kirai, N.; Harada, Y. Chem. Commun. 2008, 2010-2012.

(14) (a) Arcadi, A.; Bernocchi, E.; Burini, A.; Cacchi, S.; Marinelli, F.;
Pietroni, B. *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 481–490. (b) Oh, C. H.; Park, S. J.;
Ryu, J. H.; Gupta, A. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 7039–7042. (c) Alfonsi,
M.; Arcadi, A.; Chiarini, M.; Marinelli, F. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, *72*, 9510– 9517.

(15) (a) Arcadi, A.; Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Marinelli, F.; Pace, P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2000**, n/a, 4099–4108. (b) Arcadi, A.; Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Marinelli, F.; Verdecchia, M. Synlett **2006**, n/a, 909–915. Also see: (c) Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Moro, L.; Pace, P. Synlett **1997**, n/a, 1367–1370. In this report, they synthesized 3-(*p*-anisyl)-2-hydroxychromene, which was then transformed into the corresponding coumarin via CrO<sub>3</sub> oxidation. For a related synthesis of dihydrocoumarins, see: Kobayashi, K.; Nishikata, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Miyaura, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **2008**, 81, 1019–1025.

(16) (a) Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Marinelli, F.; Moro, L.; Pace, P. *Tetrahedron* 1996, *52*, 10225–10240. (b) Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Goggiamani, A.; Moreno-Mañas, M.; Vallribera, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, *43*, 5537–5540. (c) Abbiati, G.; Arcadi, A.; Marinelli, F.; Rossi, E.; Verdecchia, M. *Synlett* 2006, *n/a*, 3218–3224. (d) Arcadi, A.; Aschi, M.; Marinellim, F.; Verdecchia, M. *Tetrahedron* 2008, *64*, 5354–5361.

Our study commenced with the preparation of phenolderived propiolate substrates (Scheme 2). Cacchi et al. reported that the Sonogashira coupling of *o*-iodophenol with ethyl propiolate gave protection-free *o*-alkynylphenol **6** in 30% yield, while a similar coupling of the THP-protected analogue led to a mixture of **6** and its THP ether (P =



THP).<sup>15c</sup> Hence, we examined the alternative route reported by Sato and co-workers.<sup>17</sup> Readily available MOM-protected salicylaldehyde **4** was treated with CBr<sub>4</sub> (1.3 equiv), PPh<sub>3</sub> (2.6 equiv), and Et<sub>3</sub>N (1 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C overnight to obtain dibromoalkene **5** in 95% yield. The isolated **5** was then converted into propiolate **1a** in 68% yield upon treatment with "BuLi (2.2 equiv) in THF and subsequently with ClCO<sub>2</sub>Me (1.5 equiv). Finally, deprotection under acidic conditions uneventfully gave **6** in 88% yield.

Having secured a reliable route to the alkyne substrates, we then attempted the synthesis of 4-arylcoumarins via Cucatalyzed hydroarylation/cyclization. In our previous report, we described that the Cu-catalyzed hydroarylation of methyl 4-hydroxy-2-butynoate with phenylboronic acid gave 3-phenylbutenolide in 61% yield.<sup>13</sup> Similarly, **6** was first subjected to hydroarylation conditions (Scheme 3). As a result,



4-phenylcoumarin **3aa** was obtained in 54% yield; however, unexpectedly, 4-methoxycoumarin **7** was also formed in 37% yield. Although the details are unclear, it is reasonable to assume that the *o*-hydroxy group played some role in facilitating the addition of methanol because no such methoxylation product was observed in the corresponding *o*-methoxyphenylpropiolate in our previous study.<sup>13</sup> In this

<sup>(17)</sup> Sato, Y.; Tamura, T.; Kinbara, A.; Mori, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 647-661.

respect, the MOM-protected **1a** was a promising substrate as the MOM protection probably suppressed the methoxylation and was readily removed after hydroarylation. In fact, **1a** underwent smooth hydroarylation within 6 h under the same conditions, and upon treatment with 6 M HCl in refluxing MeOH in the same pot, the desired coumarin **3aa** was formed in 89% yield as an exclusive product (Table 1, entry 1).

<b>Table 1.</b> Cu-Catalyzed Hydroarylation/Cyclization
---

	OMOM + ArB(OH) <sub>2</sub> 2 1a CO <sub>2</sub> Me	1. cat. CuOA MeOH, 28 2. 6 M HCl reflux, 3 h		3 Ar	
entry	<b>2</b> , Ar	Cu (mol %)	time (h)	<b>3</b> , yield (%)	
1	2a, C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	2	6	<b>3aa</b> , 89	
2	2b, $p$ -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	2	6	<b>3ab</b> , 90	
3	2c, $m$ -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	2	6	<b>3ac</b> , 93	
4	2d, $o$ -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	4	6	<b>3ad</b> , 89	
5	2e, $p$ -MeOC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	2	2	<b>3ae</b> , 90	
6	2f, $p$ -ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	4	6	<b>3af</b> , 88	
7	2g, $p$ -IC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	4	6	<b>3ag</b> , 89	
8	2h, $p$ -OHCC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	4	3	<b>3ah</b> , 89	
9	2i, $m$ -O <sub>2</sub> NC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	10	6	<b>3ai</b> , 87	
10	<b>2j</b> , 2-naphthyl	2	6	<b>3aj</b> , 75	
$^a$ 1a (0.5 mmol), 2 (3 equiv), MeOH (1 mL, 0.5 M); 6 M HCl (4 mL)/ MeOH (4 mL).					

We further checked the scope of this method in terms of arylboronic acids as summarized in Table 1. All tolylboronic acids 2b-d having a methyl group at the *para*, *meta*, or *ortho* positions, respectively, gave the desired coumarins 3ab-ad in high yields, although higher catalyst loading was required for 2d (entries 2–4). Neither electron-donating nor electron-withdrawing groups gave a deleterious effect on the formation of coumarins 3ae and 3af-ai (entries 5 and 6–9). More importantly, this protocol could be applied to arylboronic acids having a labile functional group, such as 2g and 2h, efficiently affording the corresponding coumarins 3ag and 3ah without the loss of the reactive C–I bond or the formyl group (entries 7 and 8). These functional groups are useful synthetic handles for further derivatizations (vide infra).

To demonstrate the synthetic utility of our method, we have applied the method to the synthesis of natural products. The plants belonging to the Dalbergia family have been known to possess unique medicinal properties. Naturally, the identification of their constituents relevant to the biological activities is highly important. Thus far, various 4-arylcoumarins have been isolated, and their structures have been characterized by their phenyl rings multiply oxygenated in several substitution patterns.<sup>1c</sup> Their synthesis is hence an attractive testing ground for our flexible method: the combinations of only three arylpropiolates **1b**–**d** with readily available arylboronic acids **2a**,**k**–**m** would cover the synthesis of seven natural products (Figure 1 and Table 2).

First, alkynoate **1b** was coupled with known 3-(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-4-methoxyphenylboronic acid  $2k^{18}$ 



**Figure 1.** Coupling components for the synthesis of natural 4-arylcoumarins.

under standard conditions to obtain volubolin 3bk in 93% yield (Table 2, entry 1).<sup>19</sup> Next, dalbergin **3ca**, which is the oldest known and most common 4-arylcoumarin constituent,<sup>20</sup> was obtained in 92% yield from alkynoate 1c and phenylboronic acid 2a (entry 2). Similarly from 1c, melannein<sup>21</sup> 3ck and melanettin<sup>22</sup> 3cl were synthesized in high yields using the TBS-protected phenolic boron reagents 2k and **2I**, respectively (entries 3 and 4). Other types of natural neoflavones isolated from Coutarea hexandra were also synthesized from alkynoate 1d.<sup>23</sup> The combination of 1d with arylboronic acids 2k-m gave 3dk-3dm, respectively, in good yields although increased catalyst loadings of 4 mol % were required under the steric effect of the 5-methoxy group (entries 5-7). The formation of 3dk, which has also been isolated from other plant origins, is of particular importance since it has been reported to exhibit interesting biological activities such as cytotoxic activities against human tumor cell lines,24 antiplasmodial activity against Plasmodium falciparum,<sup>4a</sup> and inhibition of microtubule assembly without influencing human topoisomerases I and II.<sup>7</sup>

Further illustrations of the synthetic utility are outlined in Scheme 4. Tunge et al. have reported the elegant one-pot tandem Pd(II)-catalyzed cyclization/Pd(0)-catalyzed cross coupling process that efficiently provides highly substituted 4-arylcoumarins.<sup>25</sup> With the 4-arylcoumarin products **3ag** and

<sup>(18)</sup> Banwell, M. G.; Hamel, E.; Hockless, D. C. R.; Verdier-Pinard, P.; Willis, A. C.; Wong, D. J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *14*, 4627–4638.

 <sup>(19) (</sup>a) Chawla, H. M.; Mittal, R. S. *Phytochemistry* 1983, 22, 2625–2626.
 (b) Chawla, H. M.; Johny, C. J.; Mittal, R. S. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* 1989, 82–87.

<sup>(20)</sup> Ahluwalia, V. K.; Seshadri, T. R. J. Chem. Soc. **1957**, 970–972. Also see refs 19 and 21–23.

<sup>(21) (</sup>a) Donnelly, B. J.; Donelly, D. M. X.; O'Sullivan, A. M. *Chem. Ind.* (*London*) **1966**, *n/a*, 1498. (b) Donnelly, B. J.; Donelly, D. M. X.; O'Sullivan, A. M. *Tetrahedron* **1968**, *24*, 2617–2622.

<sup>(22)</sup> Donnelly, D. M. X.; O'Reilly, J. Phytochemistry 1975, 14, 2287–2290.

<sup>(23) (</sup>a) Monache, G. D.; Botta, B.; Neto, A. S.; de Lima, R. A. *Phytochemistry* 1983, 22, 1657–1658. (b) Monache, G. D.; Botta, B.; de Lima, R. A. *Phytochemistry* 1984, 23, 1813.

<sup>(24)</sup> Ito, A.; Chai, H.-B.; Shin, Y. G.; García, R.; Mejía, M.; Gao, Q.; Fairchild, C. R.; Lane, K. E.; Menendez, A. T.; Farnsworth, N. R.; Cordell,

G. A.; Pezzuto, J. M.; Kinghorn, A. D. *Tetrahedron* 2000, *56*, 6401–6405.
 (25) Li, K.; Zeng, Y.; Neuenswander, B.; Tunge, J. A. J. Org. Chem.
 2005, *70*, 6515–6518.



entry	substrates	Cu (mol %)	product, yield (%)
1	1 b / 2 k	2	HO
2	1c / 2a	2	HO MeO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO
3	1c / 2k	2	мео то со <b>Зса</b> , 92
4	1c / 2l	2	HO MEO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO HO
5	1d / 2k	4	HO <b>3</b> cl, 94
6	1d / 21	4	HO MeO MeO MeO
7	1d / 2m	4	Ho MeO MeO
			<b>3</b> dm, 78

 $^a$  1 (0.5 mmol), 2 (3 equiv), MeOH (1 mL, 0.5 M), 28 °C, 6 h; 6 M HCl (4 mL)/MeOH (4 mL), reflux, 3 h.

**3ah** having the C–I bond or the formyl group on the 4-phenyl ring in hand, we have attempted to realize sequential processes that provide nitrogen heterocycles. First, **3ag** was subjected to Ullmann coupling with indole using the Cu(I)/N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine (dmeda) catalyst system developed by Buchwald et al.<sup>26</sup> to obtain **8** in 98% yield. We then applied our own sequential Cu-catalyzed

Mannich reaction/Ir-catalyzed cycloisomerization process.<sup>27</sup> Gratifyingly, we could obtain the pyrrole-substituted product **9** in 58% yield from **3ah**, *N*-benzylallylamine, and 1-hexyne.



In conclusion, we have established an efficient Cucatalyzed coupling to synthesize 4-arylcoumarins. This protocol is compatible with phenylboronic acids having various functional groups including carbon-halogen bonds as well as an aldehyde. By applying this protocol, we have synthesized seven naturally occurring neoflavones in good yields. Further, the present Cu-catalyzed hydroarylation/ cyclization was combined with Cu-catalyzed Ullmann coupling or Cu-catalyzed Mannich reaction/Ir-catalyzed cycloisomerization to produce N-heterocycle-substituted 4-arylcoumarins with interesting applications.

Acknowledgment. This research was partially supported by the MEXT, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), 20350045, Scientific Research on Priority Area "Chemistry on Concerto Catalysis" (20037018). We thank Prof. K. Tomooka (Kyushu University) for use of a NMR spectrometer and Profs. H. Suzuki, T. Takao, T. Ikariya, and S. Kuwata (Tokyo Institute of Technology) for use of mass spectrometers.

**Supporting Information Available:** Experimental procedures and analytical data for products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## OL802239N

<sup>(26)</sup> Antilla, J. C.; Klapars, A.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11684–11688.

<sup>(27) (</sup>a) Yamamoto, Y.; Hayashi, H.; Saigoku, T.; Nishiyama, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, 127, 10804–10805. (b) Yamamoto, Y.; Hayashi, H. Tetrahedron **2007**, 63, 10149–10160.